I'm not robot!

```
The Spanish Language exam is designed to measure knowledge and ability equivalent to that of students who have completed one to two years of college Spanish language study. Material taught during both years is incorporated into a single exam, covering both Level 1 and Level 2 content. A test taker's proficiency level is dependent on the test
score. Exam Structure The exam contains approximately 121 questions to be answered in approximately 90 minutes. Some of these are pretest questions and one
reading section. Each section has its own timing requirements. Listening Sections The two listening sections and doesn't include the time you spend listening to the test material. Timing begins after the section directions
are dismissed. You can change the volume by using the Volume testing section on the Spanish Language exam require test takers to comprehend written and spoken Spanish. The subject matter is drawn from the
abilities described below. The percentages next to the main topics indicate the approximate percentage of exam questions on each. Section I (15%) Listening: Rejoinders Listening comprehension through short oral exchanges. Choose the response that most logically continues or completes each conversation. You'll have 10 seconds to choose your
response before the next conversation begins. Section II (25%) Listening: Dialogues and Narratives Listening comprehension through longer spoken selections. You'll hear a series of selections, such as dialogues, announcements, and narratives Listening comprehension through longer spoken selections.
questions have various formats. Some questions offer four possible responses, each with an oval to click to indicate your answer. Other questions, you'll have to click in more than one place to complete your response. Be sure to follow the
specific directions for each question. You'll have a total of 12 minutes to answer the questions in this section, you may adjust the volume only when a question is on your screen. It will affect the volume of the next audio prompt you hear. You can't change the volume
while the audio prompt is playing. Section III (60%) Reading 16% Part A: Discrete sentences (vocabulary and structure) In each paragraph,
there are blanks indicating that words or phrases have been omitted. When a blank is shaded, four completions are provided. First, read through the entire paragraph. 24% Part C: Reading passages and authentic stimulus materials (reading comprehension)
Each selection is followed by one or more questions, incomplete statements, or commands. For each question or incomplete statement, select the best answer or completion. For each questions given. Level 1 Credit-granting Score 50 Semester Hours 6 Level 2 Credit-granting Score
63 Semester Hours 9 Note: Each institution reserves the right to set its own credit-granting policy, which may differ from the American Council on Education (ACE). Contact your college to find out the score required for credit and the number of credit hours granted. This guide provides practice questions for the CLEP Spanish Language (Levels 1
and 2) and Spanish with Writing (Levels 1 and 2) Exams. This study guide provides practice questions for all 34 CLEP exams. The ideal resource for taking more than one exam. Offered only by College Board. Correction: The answer key for question #47 in the Principles of Microeconomics Examination Guide is incorrect. The correct answer is A.
Theory of perception Not to be confused with the psychotherapy of Fritz Perls, Gestalt therapy. This article has multiple issues on the talk page. (Learn how and when to remove these template messages) This article has multiple issues on the talk page.
citations to reliable sources. Unsourced material may be challenged and removed. Find sources: "Gestalt psychology" – news · newspapers · books · scholar · JSTOR (November 2016) (Learn how and when to remove this template message) The neutrality of this article is disputed. Relevant discussion may be found on the talk page. Please do not
remove this message until conditions to do so are met. (November 2016) (Learn how and when to remove this template message) Part of a series on Psychology Outline History Subfields Basic types Abnormal Behavioral Behavioral genetics Biological Cognitive/Cognitivism Comparative Cross-
cultural Cultural Differential Developmental Evolutionary Experimental Mathematical Neuropsychology Personality Positive Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychodynamic Psychology Applied behavior analysis Clinical Community Consumer Counseling Critical Educational Environmental Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Experimental Evolutionary Evolutionar
Industrial and organizational Legal Medical Military Music Occupational health Political Psychology portalvte Gestalt psychology portalvte Gestalt psychology portalvte Gestalt psychology that
-S(H)TAWLT,[5][6] German: [gə'ʃtalt] (listen); meaning "form"[7]) is interpreted as "pattern" or "configurations, not merely individual components.[8] The view is sometimes summarized using the adage, "the whole is more than the sum of its parts."[9]:13
Gestalt principles, proximity, similarity, figure-ground, continuity, closure, and connection, describe how humans perceive visuals in connection with different objects and environments. Gestalt psychology was founded on works by Max Wertheimer, Wolfgang Köhler, and Kurt Koffka.[8] Origin and history Further information: History of psychology
§ Gestalt psychology Max Wertheimer (1880–1943), Kurt Koffka (1886–1941), and Wolfgang Köhler (1887–1967) founded Gestalt psychology at the time was structuralism, exemplified by the work of Hermann von Helmholtz (1821–1894), Wilhelm Wundt (1832–1920), and Edward
B. Titchener (1867–1927).[10][11]:3 Structuralism was rooted firmly in British empiricism[10][11]:3 the view that all knowledge, even complex abstract ideas, is built from simple, elementary constituents "sensationalism," the view that the simplest
constituents—the atoms of thought—are elementary sense impressions "associationism," the view that more complex ideas arise from the association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three theories give rise to the view that more complex ideas arise from the association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three theories give rise to the view that more complex ideas arise from the association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three theories give rise to the view that more complex ideas arise from the association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three theories give rise to the view that more complex ideas arise from the association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three theories give rise to the view that the mind constructs all perceptions and even abstract thoughts strictly from lower-level sense in the association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three theories give rise to the view that the mind constructs all perceptions are association of simpler ideas.[11]:3[12] Together, these three t
solely by being associated closely in space and time.[10] The Gestaltists took issue with this widespread "atomistic" view that the aim of psychologists believed that breaking psychologists believ
understanding psychology.[9]:13 The Gestalt psychologists believed, instead, that the most fruitful way to view psychological phenomena is as organized, structure of the whole, rather than vice versa. One could say that the
approach was based on a macroscopic view of psychology rather than a microscopic approach.[13] Gestalt theories of perception are based on human nature being inclined to understand objects as an entire structure rather than the sum of its parts.[14] Wertheimer had been a student of Austrian philosopher, Christian von Ehrenfels (1859–1932), a
member of the School of Brentano. Von Ehrenfels introduced the concept of Gestalt to philosophy and psychology in 1890, before the advent of Gestalt psychology as such.[15][10] Von Ehrenfels observed that a perceptual experience, such as perceiving a melody or a shape, is more than the sum of its sensory components.[10] He claimed that, in
addition to the sensory elements of the perception, there is something extra. Although in some sense derived from the component sensory elements, this further quality is an element in its own right. He called it Gestalt-qualitit or "form-quality." For instance, when one hears a melody, one hears the notes plus something in addition
to them that binds them together into a tune – the Gestalt-qualität. It is this Gestalt-qualität that, according to von Ehrenfels, allows a tune to be transposed to a new key, using completely different notes, while still retaining its identity. The idea of a Gestalt-qualität has roots in theories by David Hume, Johann Wolfgang von Goethe, Immanuel Kant,
David Hartley, and Ernst Mach. Both von Ehrenfels and Edmund Husserl seem to have been inspired by Mach's work Beiträge zur Analysis of Sensations, 1886), in formulating their very similar concepts of gestalt and figural moment, respectively.[15] By 1914, the first published references to Gestalt
theory could be found in a footnote of Gabriele von Wartensleben's application of Gestalt theory to personality. She was a student at Frankfurt Academy for Social Sciences, who interacted deeply with Wertheimer and Köhler.[16] Through a series of experiments, Wertheimer discovered that a person observing a pair of alternating bars of light can,
under the right conditions, experience the illusion of movement between one location and the other. He noted that this was a perception of motion. [15][17] Wertheimer's publication of these results in 1912[18] marks the beginning of Gestalt
psychology.[17] In comparison to von Ehrenfels and others who had used the term "gestalt" earlier in various ways, Wertheimer's unique contribution was to insist that the "gestalt" is perceptually primary. The gestalt defines the parts from which it is composed, rather than being a secondary quality that emerges from those parts.[17] Wertheimer
took the more radical position that "what is given me by the melody does not arise ... as a secondary process from the sum of the pieces as such. Instead, what takes place in each single part already depends upon what the whole is", (1925/1938). In other words, one hears the melody first and only then may perceptually divide it up into notes.
Similarly, in vision, one sees the form of the circle first—it is given "im-mediately" (i.e., its apprehension is not mediated by a process of part-summation). Only after this primary apprehension might one notice that it is made up of lines or dots or stars. The two men who served as Wertheimer's subjects in the phi experiments were Köhler and Koffka
Köhler was an expert in physical acoustics, having studied under physicist Max Planck (1858–1947), but had taken his degree in psychology under Carl Stumpf (1848–1936). Koffka was also a student of Stumpf's, having studied movement phenomena and psychology under Carl Stumpf (1848–1947), but had taken his degree in psychology under Carl Stumpf (1848–1947).
of research on learning in chimpanzees. Köhler showed, contrary to the claims of most other learning that Ivan Pavlov (1849–1936) and Edward Lee Thorndike (1874–1949) had demonstrated with
dogs and cats, respectively. The terms "structure" and "organization" were focal for the Gestalt psychologists. Stimuli were said to have a certain structure, to be organized in a certain way, and that it is to this structural organization, rather than to individual sensory elements, that the organize many individual sensory elements, that the organized in a certain way, and that it is to this structural organization, rather than to individual sensory elements, that the organized in a certain way, and that it is to this structural organization, rather than to individual sensory elements, that the organized in a certain way, and that it is to this structural organization, rather than to individual sensory elements, that the organized in a certain way, and that it is to this structural organization.
simply respond to the absolute properties of a stimulus, but to its properties relative to its surroundings. To use a favorite example of Köhler's, if conditioned to respond in a certain way to the lighter of two gray cards, the animal generalizes the relation between the two stimuli rather than the absolute properties of the conditioned stimulus: it will
respond to the lighter of two cards in subsequent trials even if the darker card in the test trial is of the same intensity as the lighter one in the original training trials. In 1921, Koffka published a Gestalt-oriented text on developmental psychology, Growth of the Mind. With the help of American psychologist Robert Ogden, Koffka introduced the Gestalt-oriented text on developmental psychology, Growth of the Mind. With the help of American psychologist Robert Ogden, Koffka introduced the Gestalt-oriented text on developmental psychology.
point of view to an American audience in 1922 by way of a paper in Psychological Bulletin. It contains of then-current explanations of a number of problems of perception, and the alternatives offered by the Gestalt school. Koffka moved to the United States in 1924, eventually settling at Smith College in 1927. In 1935, Koffka published his
Principles of Gestalt Psychology. This textbook laid out the Gestalt vision of the scientific enterprise as a whole. Science, he said, is not the simple accumulation of facts. What makes research scientific is the incorporation of facts into a theoretical structure. The goal of the Gestaltists was to integrate the facts of inanimate nature, life, and mind into a
single scientific structure. This meant that science would have to accommodate not only what Koffka called the quantitative facts of physical science but the facts of physical science would have to accommodate not only what Koffka called the quantitative facts of physical science but the facts of physical science but the facts of physical science but the facts of physical science would have to accommodate not only what Koffka called the quantitative facts of physical science but the facts of physical science bu
the meaning of experience and behavior, Koffka believed that science would doom itself to trivialities in its investigation of human beings. Having survived the Nazis up to the mid-1930s,[19] all the core members of the Gestalt movement were forced out of Germany to the United States by 1935.[20] Köhler published another book, Dynamics in
Psychology, in 1940 but thereafter the Gestalt movement suffered a series of setbacks. Koffka died in 1941 and Wertheimer's long-awaited book on mathematical problem-solving, Productive Thinking, was published posthumously in 1945, but Köhler was left to guide the movement without his two long-time colleagues. [note 1]
Gestalt therapy Gestalt psychology should not be confused with the Gestalt therapy, which is only peripherally linked to Gestalt psychology. The founders of Gestalt psychology to the functioning of the organism. Laura Perls had been a
Gestalt psychologist before she became a psychoanalyst and before she began developing Gestalt therapy together with Fritz Perls.[21] The extent to which Gestalt psychology influenced Gestalt therapy is disputed, however. In any case, it is not identical to Gestalt psychology. On the one hand, Laura Perls preferred not to use the term "Gestalt" to
name the emerging new therapy, because she thought that the Gestalt psychologists would object to it;,[22] on the other hand, Fritz and Laura Perls clearly adopted some of Goldstein's work.[23] Thus, though recognizing the historical connection and the influence, most Gestalt psychologists emphasize that Gestalt therapy is not a form of Gestalt
psychology. Mary Henle noted in her presidential address to Division 24 at the meeting of the American Psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psychological Association (1975): "What Perls has done has been to take a few terms from Gestalt psy
existentialism, and common sense, and he has called the whole mixture gestalt therapy. His work has no substantive relation to scientific Gestalt psychology"[24] With her analysis, however, she restricts herself explicitly to only three of Perls' books
from 1969 and 1972, leaving out Perls' earlier work, and Gestalt therapy in general as a psychotherapy method.[note 2] There have been clinical applications of Gestalt therapy, in group psychoanalysis (Foulkes), Adlerian individual psychology, by Gestalt psychologists in
psychotherapy like Erwin Levy, Abraham S. Luchins, by Gestalt psychologically oriented psychoanalysts in Italy (Canestrari and others), and there have been newer developments foremost in Europe. For example, a strictly Gestalt psychology-based therapeutic method is Gestalt Theoretical Psychotherapy, developed by the German Gestalt
psychologist and psychotherapist Hans-Jürgen Walter and his colleagues in Germany, Austria (Gerhard Stemberger and colleagues) and Switzerland. Other countries, especially Italy, have seen similar developments. Contributions Gestalt psychology made many contributions to the body of psychology. The Gestaltists were the first to demonstrate
empirically and document many facts about perception—including facts about the perception of movement, the perception of contour, perceptual illusions.[15] In addition to discovering perceptual phenomena, the contributions of
Gestalt psychology include: (a) a unique theoretical framework and methodology, (b) a set of perceptual principles, (c) a well-known set of perceptual grouping laws, (d) a theory of memory. The following subsections discuss these contributions in turn. Theoretical framework and methodology The
Gestalt psychologists practiced a set of theoretical and methodological principles that attempted to redefine the approach to psychological research. This is in contrast to investigations developed at the beginning of the 20th century, based on traditional scientific methodology, which divided the object of study into a set of elements that could be
analyzed separately with the objective of reducing the complexity of this object. The theoretical principles are the following: Principle of totality—Conscious experience must be considered globally (by taking into account all the physical and mental aspects of the individual simultaneously) because the nature of the mind demands that each component
be considered as part of a system of dynamic relationships. Wertheimer described holism as fundamental to Gestalt psychology,[10] writing "There are wholes, the behavior of which is not determined by the intrinsic nature of the whole."[26] In other words,
a perceptual whole is different from what one would predict based on only its individual parts.[10] Moreover, the nature of a part depends upon the whole in which it is embedded.[10] Köhler, for example, writes "In psychology...we have wholes which, instead of being the sum of parts existing independently, give their parts specific functions or
properties that can only be defined in relation to the whole in question. "[27] Thus, the maxim that the whole is something else than the sum of its parts, because summing is a meaningless procedure, whereas the whole-part relationship is
meaningful."[28] Principle of psychophysical isomorphism - Köhler hypothesized that there is a correlation between conscious experience and cerebral activity.[17] Based on the principles above the following methodological principles are defined: Phenomenon experimental analysis—In relation to the Totality Principle any psychological research
should take phenomena as a starting point and not be solely focused on sensory qualities. Biotic experiment—The Gestalt psychologists established a need to conduct real experiments. This signified experimenting in natural situations, developed in real conditions, in which it
percept contains more explicit spatial information than the sensory stimulus on which it is based. For instance, a triangle is perceived in picture A, though no triangle is there. In pictures B and D the eye recognizes disparate shape is seen, where in actuality no such thing is perceived in picture A, though no triangle is there. In pictures B and D the eye recognizes disparate shape is seen, where in actuality no such thing is perceived in picture A, though no triangle is there.
 drawn. Reification can be explained by progress in the study of illusory contours, which are treated by the visual system as "real" contours. Multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability Multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability Multistability Multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability Multistability Multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability Multistability Multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability Multistability Multistability Multistability Multistability The Necker cube and the Rubin vase, two examples of multistability Multistabi
between two or more alternative interpretations. This is seen, for example, in the Necker cube and Rubin's Figure/Vase illusion shown here. Other examples include the three-legged blivet and artist M. C. Escher's artwork and the appearance of flashing marquee lights moving first one direction and then suddenly the other. Again, Gestalt psychology
does not explain how images appear multistable, only that they do. Invariance Invariance Invariance is the property of perception whereby simple geometrical objects are recognized independent of rotation, translation, and scale; as well as several other variations such as elastic deformations, different lighting, and different component features. For
Marr, have provided alternate explanations of how perceived objects are classified. Emergence, reification, multistability, and invariance are not necessarily separable modules to model individually, but they could be different aspects of a single unified dynamic mechanism.[31] Figure-ground organization The perceptual field (what an organism
perceives) is organized. Figure-ground organization is one form of perceptual elements in the layout of surfaces in the 3-D world.[17] Figure-ground organization structures the perceptual field into a figure (standing out at
the front of the perceptual field) and a background (receding behind the figure).[32] Pioneering work on figure-ground organization was carried out by the Danish psychologists demonstrated that we tend to perceptual fields that are convex, symmetric, small, and enclosed
[17] Prägnanz Main article: Principles of grouping Like figure-ground organization, perceptual grouping (sometimes called perceptual fields as "hanging together" more tightly than others.[17] They use this information for object detection.
[33] Perceptual grouping is the process that determines what these "pieces" of the perceptual grouping.[33] According to Gestalt psychologists, the fundamental principle of perceptual grouping is the law of Prägnanz.[33] (The law of Prägnanz is also known as
the law of good Gestalt.) Prägnanz is a German word that directly translates to "pithiness" and implies salience, conciseness, and orderliness.[34] The law of Prägnanz says that we tend to experience things as regular, orderly, symmetrical, and simple. As Koffka put it, "Of several geometrically possible organizations that one will actually occur which
possesses the best, simplest and most stable shape. "[35] The law of Prägnanz implies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that, as individuals perceive the world, they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplies that they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they can observe reality in its most simplified they eliminate complexity and unfamiliarity so they eliminate complexity.
which is often mentally prioritized over spatial relations. The law of good Gestalt focuses on the idea of conciseness, which is what all of Gestalt theory is based on. [36] A major aspect of Gestalt psychology is that it implies that the mind understands external stimuli as wholes rather than as the sums of their parts. The wholes are structured and
principles were based on similarity, proximity, continuity. [38] The Gestalt concept is based on perceiving reality in its simplest form. [39] The various laws are called laws or principles, depending on the paper where they appear—but for simplicity's sake, this article uses the term laws. These laws took several forms, such as the grouping of similar, or
proximate, objects together, within this global process. These laws deal with the sensory modality of vision. However, there are analogous laws for other sensory modalities including auditory, tactile, gustatory, and olfactory (Bregman – GP). The visual Gestalt principles of grouping were introduced in Wertheimer (1923). Through the 1930s and 1940s
Wertheimer, Kohler and Koffka formulated many of the laws of grouping through the study of visual perceive objects, they perceive an assortment of objects that are close to each other as forming a group. For example, in the figure illustrating the law
of proximity, there are 72 circles, but we perceive the collection of circles on the left side of the image and three groups of 12 circles on the right side of the image. This law is often used in advertising logos to emphasize which aspects of events are associated. [36][40] Law of
similarity Law of similarity The law of similarity The law of similarity states that elements within an assortment of objects are perceptually grouped together if they are similar to each other. This similarity portrays 36 circles all equal distance apart
from one another forming a square. In this depiction, 18 of the circles are shaded dark, and 18 of the circles as grouped together, forming six horizontal lines within the square of circles as grouped together and the light circles as grouped together and the light circles as grouped together.
of closure Gestalt psychologists believed that humans tend to perceive objects as complete rather than focusing on the gaps that the object might contain.[41] For example, a circle has good Gestalt in terms of complete example, a circle has good Gestalt in terms of complete shapes and figures is
called closure.[32] The law of closure states that individuals perceive objects such as shapes, letters, pictures, etc., as being whole when they are not complete. Specifically, when parts of a whole picture are missing, our perceived through
sensation is to increase the regularity of surrounding stimuli. For example, the figure that depicts the law of closure portrays what we perceive as a circle on the left side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image. However, gaps are present in the shapes. If the law of closure portrays what we perceive as a circle on the left side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the image and a rectangle on the right side of the 
different lines with different lengths, rotations, and curvatures—but with the law of closure, we perceptually pleasing to divide
objects into an even number of symmetrical parts. Therefore, when two symmetrical elements are unconnected the mind perceptually connects them to form a coherent shape. Similarities between symmetrical objects increase the likelihood that objects are grouped to form a coherent shape.
symmetry shows a configuration of square and curled brackets. When the image is perceived, we tend to observe three pairs of symmetrical brackets rather than six individual brackets. When the image is perceived, we tend to observe three pairs of symmetrical brackets are perceived as lines that move along the smoothest path. Experiments using the visual
sensory modality found that the movement of elements of an object sto have trends of motion, which indicate the path that the objects to have trends of motion, which indicate the path that the objects to have trends of motion, which indicate the path that the object is on. The law of continuity implies the grouping together of objects to have trends of motion, which indicate the path that the objects to have trends of motion and are therefore the path that the object is on.
on the same path. For example, if there are an array of dots and half the downward moving dots are moving downward, we would perceive the upward moving dots as two distinct units.[34] Law of continuity (also known as the law of good continuation) states
that elements of objects tend to be grouped together, and therefore integrated into perceive the two objects. In cases where there is an intersection between objects, individuals tend to perceive the two objects as two single uninterrupted entities. Stimuli remain distinct even with overlap. We are less likely to group
elements with sharp abrupt directional changes as being one object. For example, the figure depicting the law of continuity shows a configuration of two separate halves of a key.[36] Law of past experience The law
of past experience implies that under some circumstances visual stimuli are categorized according to past experience. If two objects are more likely to be perceived together. For example, the English language contains 26 letters that are grouped to form words using a
set of rules. If an individual reads an English word they have never seen, they use the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters "L" and "I" as two letters "L" and "I" as two letters beside each other, rather than using the law of closure to combine the letters "L" and "I" as two letters "L" and "L" as two letters "L" as tw
process and result, is a music sequence. People are able to recognise a sequence of perhaps six or seven notes, despite them being transposed into a different tuning or key.[43] Problem solving and insight Gestalt psychology contributed to the scientific study of problem solving.[25] In fact, the early experimental work of the Gestaltists in
Germany[note 3] marks the beginning of the scientific study of problem solving. Later this experimental work continued through the 1960s and early 1970s with research conducted on relatively simple (but novel for participants) laboratory tasks of problem solving. [note 4][44] Given Gestalt psychology's focus on the whole, it was natural for Gestalt
psychologists to study problem-solving from the perspective of insight, seeking to understanding the whole problem and its solution.[9]:13 In a famous set of experiments, Köhler gave chimpanzees some boxes and placed
food high off the ground; after some time, the chimpanzees appeared to suddenly realize that they could stack the boxes on top of each other to reach the food.[45]:362 Max Wertheimer distinguished two kinds of thinking: productive thinking and reproductive thinking and reproductive thinking is solving a problem based on insight—a
quick, creative, unplanned response to situations and environmental interaction. Reproductive thinking is solving a problem deliberately based on previous experience and knowledge. Reproductive thinking is solving a problem deliberately based on previous experience and knowledge. Reproductive thinking is solving a problem deliberately based on previous experience and knowledge. Reproductive thinking is solving a problem deliberately based on previous experience and knowledge.
error.[45]:361 Karl Duncker, another Gestalt psychologist who studied problem solving,[45]:370 coined the term functional fixedness for describing that arise from the fact that one element of a whole situation already has a (fixed) function that has to be changed in order to perceive
something or find the solution to a problem. [47] Abraham Luchins also studied problem solving from the perspective of Gestalt psychology. He is well known for his research on the role of mental set (Einstellung effect), which he demonstrated using a series of problems having to do with refilling water jars. [45]:383 Another Gestalt psychologist,
Perkins, believes insight deals with three processes: Unconscious leap in thinking.[37] The increased amount of speed in mental processing. The amount of speed in mental processing. The amount of speed in mental processing. The amount of speed in mental process View Fuzzy-trace theory of
memory Fuzzy-trace theory, a dual process model of memory and reasoning, was also derived from Gestalt psychology. Fuzzy-trace theory posits that we encode information into two separate traces: verbatim and gist. Information stored in
gist is semantic and conceptual (what we perceive the pattern to be). The effects seen in Gestalt psychology can be attributed to the way we encode information as gist.[51][52] Legacy Gestalt psychology struggled to precisely define terms like Prägnanz, to make specific behavioral predictions, and to articulate testable models of underlying neural
mechanisms.[10] It was criticized as being merely descriptive.[53] These shortcomings led, by the mid-20th century, to growing dissatisfaction with Gestaltism and a subsequent decline in its impact on psychology.[10] Despite this decline, Gestaltism and a subsequent decline in its impact on psychology.[10] Despite this decline in psychology.[10] Despite this decline in psychology.[10] Despite this decline in psychology.[10
and of research into behavior, thinking, problem solving and psychopathology. Support from cybernetics and neurology and what became known as cybernetics on the mechanism of frogs' eyes indicate that perception of 'gestalts' (in particular gestalts in motion) is perhaps more primitive and
fundamental than 'seeing' as such: A frog hunts on land by vision... He has no fovea, or region of greatest acuity in vision, upon which he must center a part of the image... The frog does not seem to see or, at any rate, is not concerned with the detail of stationary parts of the world around him. He will starve to death surrounded by food if it is not concerned with the detail of stationary parts of the world around him.
moving. His choice of food is determined only by size and movement. He will leap to capture any object the size of an insect or worm, providing it moves like one. He can be fooled easily not only by a piece of dangled meat but by any moving small object... He does remember a moving thing provided it stays within his field of vision and he is notentially not only by a piece of dangled meat but by any moving small object...
distracted.[55] The lowest-level concepts related to visual perception for a human being probably differ little from the concepts of a frog. In any case, the structure of the retina in mammals and in human beings is the same as in amphibians. The phenomenon of distortion of perception of an image stabilized on the retina gives some idea of the
concepts of the subsequent levels of the hierarchy. This is a very interesting phenomenon. When a person looks at an immobile object, "fixes" it with his eyes, the eyeballs do not remain absolutely immobile; they make small involuntary movements. As a result, the image of the object on the retina is constantly in motion, slowly drifting and jumping
back to the point of maximum sensitivity. The image "marks time" in the vicinity of this point.[56] In the 1990s, Andranik Tangian developed a model of artificial perception that implemented a principle of correlativity, which operationalized the Gestalt psychology laws in their interaction. The model finds structures in data without knowing the
structures, similarly to segregating elements in abstract painting—like curves, contours and spots—without identifying them with known objects. The approach is based on the least complex data representations in the sense of Kolmogorov, i.e. requiring the least memory storage, which is regarded as saving the brain energy. The least complexity
criterion leads to multi-level data representations in terms of generative patterns and their transformations, using proximities, symmetries, common fate grouping, continuities, etc. The idea that perception is data representation rather than "physical" recognition is illustrated by the effect of several voices produced by a single physical
body—a loudspeaker membrane, whereas the effect of a single tone is produced by several physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical causality in certain observations can be revealed through optimal data representations, and this nature—information duality is explained by the fact that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical causality in certain observations can be revealed through optimal data representations, and this nature—information duality is explained by the fact that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key. It is shown that the physical bodies—organ pipes tuned as a chord and activated by a single key.
both nature and information are subordinated to the same principle of efficiency. In some situations, the least complex data representations use the patterns already stored in the memory, demonstrating the dependence of perception as
opposed to the naïve perception that is based exclusively on direct percepts and is therefore context-dependent. The model is applied to automatic notation of interval hearing instead of absolute hearing) as well as rhythms under
variable tempo, approaching the capabilities of trained musicians. The model is also relevant to visual scene analysis and explains some modes of abstract thinking.[57][58][59] Quantum cognition § Gestalt perception Similarities between Gestalt phenomena and quantum mechanics have been pointed out by
among others, chemist Anton Amann, who commented that "similarities between Gestalt perception and quantum mechanics are on a level of a parable" yet may give useful insight nonetheless. [60] Physicist Elio Conte and co-workers have proposed abstract, mathematical models to describe the time dynamics of cognitive associations with
mathematical tools borrowed from quantum mechanics[61][62] and has discussed psychology experiments in this context. A similar approach has been suggested by physicists David Bohm, Basil Hiley and philosopher Paavo Pylkkänen with the notion that mind and matter both emerge from an "implicate order".[63][64] The models involve non-
commutative mathematics; such models account for situations in which the outcome of two measurements performed on a conscious person may influence the outcome of a subsequent experiment by
changing the state of mind of that person. Use in contemporary social psychology The halo effect can be explained through the application of Gestalt theories to social information processing. [65][14] The constructive theories of social cognition are applied though the expectations of individuals. They have been perceived in this manner and the person
judging the individual is continuing to view them in this positive manner.[14] Gestalt's theories of perception enforces that individual's tendency to perceive actions and characteristics as a whole rather than isolated parts,[14] therefore humans are inclined to build a coherent and consistent impression of objects and behaviors in order to achieve an
acceptable shape and form. The halo effect is what forms patterns for individuals,[14] the halo effect can also be altered by physical characteristics, social status and many other characteristics. [66] As well, the halo effect can have real repercussions on
the individual's perception of reality, either negative or positive and perceptual psychology Some of the central criticisms of Gestaltism are
based on the preference Gestaltists are deemed to have for theory over data, and a lack of quantitative research supporting Gestalt ideas. This is not necessarily a fair criticism as highlighted by a recent collection of quantitative research supporting Gestalt ideas. This is not necessarily a fair criticism as highlighted by a recent collection of quantitative research supporting Gestalt ideas.
principles such as the principle of similarity.[68] Other important criticisms concern the lack of definition and support for the many physiological assumptions made by gestaltists[69] and lack of theoretical coherence in modern Gestalt psychology.[67] In some scholarly communities, such as cognitive psychology and computational neuroscience,
gestalt theories of perception are criticized for being descriptive rather than explanatory in nature. For this reason, they are viewed by some as redundant or uninformative. For example, a textbook on visual perception states that, "The physiological theory of the gestaltists has fallen by the wayside, leaving us with a set of descriptive principles, but
without a model of perceptual processing. Indeed, some of their 'laws' of perceptual organisation today sound vague and inadequate. What is meant by a 'good' or 'simple' shape, for example?"[53] One historian of psychology, David J. Murray, has argued that Gestalt psychologists first discovered many principles later championed by cognitive
psychology, including schemas and prototypes.[70] Another psychologists made a lasting contribution by showing how the visual system normally functions, not merely how it breaks down.[11]:16 Use in design The gestalt laws are
used in several visual design fields, such as user interface design and cartography. The laws of similarity and proximity can, for example, be used as guides for placing radio buttons. They may also be used in designing computers and software for more intuitive human use. Examples include the design and layout of a desktop's shortcuts in rows and
columns.[40] Several grouping principles are employed in this map: similarity allows the reader to selectively isolate cities, rivers, or state boundaries; closure allows the dashed boundary lines to be perceived as continuous borders; proximity makes the collection of river segments appear as a single watershed; and continuity helps the reader "see
whole states even when boundaries are obscured under rivers. In map design, principles of Prägnanz or grouping are crucial for implying a conceptual order to the portrayed geographic features, thus facilitating the intended use of the map.[71] The Law of Similarity is employed by selecting similar map symbols for similar kinds of features or
Gestaltzerfall Graz School Hans Wallach Hermann Friedmann James J. Gibson James Tenney Laws of association (psychology) Phenomenology Principles of grouping Rudolf Arnheim Solomon Asch Structural information theory Topological data
analysis Wolfgang Metzger Notes ^ For more on the history of Gestalt psychology, see Ash, M. G. (1995). Gestalt psychology in German culture, 1890–1967: Holism and the quest for objectivity. Cambridge University Press.. ^ See Barlow criticizing Henle: Allen R. Barlow: Gestalt Therapy and Gestalt Psychology. Gestalt psychology. Gestalt –
Antecedent Influence or Historical Accident Archived 2019-05-18 at the Wayback Machine, in: The Gestalt Journal, Volume IV, Number 2, Fall, 1981. ^ For example, Duncker, Karl (1935). Zur Psychologie des produktiven Denkens [The psychology of productive thinking] (in German). Berlin: Julius Springer. ^ For example Duncker's "X-ray" problem
Ewert & Lambert's "disk" problem in 1932, later known as Tower of Hanoi. References ^ GESTALT PSİKOLOJİSİ, archived 2021-01-25, retrieved 2021-01-21 at the Wayback Machine ^ Gestalt psychology Archived 2021-01-19 at
the Wayback Machine, Encyclopædia Britannica, 2020 ^ David B. Baker (ed.) 2012 The Oxford Handbook of the History of Psychology: Global Perspectives, p.576 Archived 2021-04-15 at the Wayback Machine ^ Wells, John (3 April 2008). Longman Pronunciation Dictionary (3rd ed.). Pearson Longman. ISBN 978-1-4058-8118-0. ^ "gestalt" Archived
2018-04-26 at the Wayback Machine. Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary. ^ a b "Gestalt". The Columbia Encyclopedia. Encyclopedia. Encyclopedia. Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc
2008-05-01. p. 756. ISBN 9781593394929. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. a b c d e f Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Robert J.; Sternberg, Rober
Pomerantz, James R.; van der Helm, Peter A.; van der Helm, Peter A.; van Leeuwen, Cees (2012). "A century of Gestalt psychology in visual perception: II. Conceptual and theoretical foundations". Psychological Bulletin. 138 (6): 1218–1252. doi:10.1037/a0029334. ISSN 1939-1455. PMC 3728284. PMID 22845750. ^a b c d e Kolers, Paul A. (1972). Aspects of Motion
Perception: International Series of Monographs in Experimental Psychology. New York: Pergamon. ISBN 978-1-4831-7113-5. ^ Hamlyn, D. W. (1957). The Psychology of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception: A Philosophical Examination of Gestalt Theory and Derivative Theories of Perception (Perception Perception P
the original on 2020-10-21. Retrieved 2019-10-19. ^ Verstegen, Ian (2010). "Gestalt Psychology". The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology. doi:10.1002/9780470479216. or psychology. doi:10.1002/9780470479216. or b. c d e f Pohl, Rüdiger F. (2016-07-22). Cognitive Illusions: Intriguing Phenomena in Judgement, Thinking and Memory. Psychology Press.
 ISBN 9781317448280. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. ^{\circ} a b c d Smith, Barry (1988). "Gestalt Theory. Vienna: Philosophia Verlag. pp. 11–81. Archived from the original (PDF) on 2012-02-22. Retrieved 2019-10-12. ^{\circ} King, D. Brett
Wertheimer, Michael (2005-01-01). Max Wertheimer and Gestalt Theory. Transaction Publishers. ISBN 978-1-4128-2826-0. Archived from the original on 2022-02-26. Retrieved 2020-10-02. ^ a b c d e f g h i j Wagemans, Johan; Elder, James H.; Kubovy, Michael; Palmer, Stephen E.; Peterson, Mary A.; Singh, Manish; von der Heydt, Rüdiger (2012). "A
century of Gestalt psychology in visual perception: I. Perceptual grouping and figure-ground organization". Psychological Bulletin. 138 (6): 1172–1217. doi:10.1037/a0029333. ISSN 1939-1455. PMC 3482144. PMID 22845751. ^ Wertheimer, Max (1912). "Experimentelle Studien über das Sehen von Bewegung" (PDF). Zeitschrift für Psychologie (in
German). 61: 161–265. Archived (PDF) from the original on 2018-11-23. Retrieved 2019-10-14. Available in translation as Wertheimer, Max (2012). "Experimental Studies on Seeing Motion". In Spillman, Lothar (ed.). On Perceived Motion and Figural Organization. Michael Wertheimer, K. W. Watkins (trans.). Cambridge, MA: MIT. ^ Henle, M (1978).
"One man against the Nazis: Wolfgang Köhler" (PDF). American Psychologist. 33 (10): 939-944. doi:10.1037/0003-066x.33.10.939. hdl:11858/00-001M-0000-002B-9E5F-5. Archived from the original on 2022-02-26. Retrieved 2019-10-16. American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in American Psychology in Ame
Sciences. 20 (1): 9-19. doi:10.1002/1520-6696(198401)20:13.0.co; 2-u. PMID 11608590. Sciences and EHP - Edition Humanistische Psychologie. p. 190. ISBN 978-3-89797-068-7. Joe Wysong/Edward Rosenfeld (eds): An Oral History of Gestalt Therapy, Highland, New
York 1982, The Gestalt Journal Press, p. 12. ^ Allen R. Barlow, "Gestalt-Antecedent Influence or Historical Accident" Archived 2019-05-18 at the Wayback Machine, The Gestalt Therapy Archived 2012-02-05 at the Wayback Machine; Presidential Address to
Division 24 at the meeting of the American Psychological Association, Chicago, September 1975. Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences 14, pp 23-32. ^ a b Gobet, Fernand (2017), "Entrenchment, Gestalt formation, and chunking", in Schmid, H. J. (ed.), Entrenchment and the psychology of language learning: How we reorganize and adapt
linguistic knowledge, American Psychological Association, pp. 245-267, doi:10.1037/15969-012, ISBN 978-3110341300, archived from the original work published 1924]. "Gestalt theory". In Ellis, Willis D. (ed.), A Source Book of Gestalt Psychology, London: Routledge & Kegan
Paul. pp. 2. Retrieved 2019-10-16. ^ Köhler, Wolfgang (1971) [Original work published 1930]. "Human perception". In Henle, Mary (ed.). The Selected Papers of Wolfgang Köhler. Liveright. p. 145. ISBN 978-0-87140-253-0. ^ William Ray
Woodward, Robert Sonné Cohen - World views and scientific discipline formation: science studies in the German Democratic Republic: papers from a German-American summer institute, 1988 ^ Steven., Lehar (2003). The World in your Head: A Gestalt View of the Mechanism of Conscious Experience. Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates,
Publishers. ISBN 0805841768. OCLC 52051454. Archived from the original on 2011-07-19. Retrieved 2005-08-19. ^ "Gestalt Isomorphism". Sharp.bu.edu. Archived from the original on 2011-07-26). History and Systems of Psychology. Cambridge University Press.
doi:10.1017/9781316827178. ISBN 9781107178670. ^ a b c d Eysenck, Michael W. (2006). Fundamentals of Cognition. Hove, UK: Psychology Press. pp. 62–64. ISBN 978-1-84169-374-3. ^ a b c Todorovic, Dejan (2008). "Gestalt Principles". Scholarpedia. 3 (12): 5345. Bibcode:2008SchpJ...3.5345T. doi:10.4249/scholarpedia.5345. Archived from the
original on 3 April 2012. Retrieved 5 April 2012. Retrieved 5 April 2012. ^ Koffka, K. (1935). Principles Of Gestalt Psychology. New York: Harcourt, Brace. Retrieved 7 April 2012.
a b Sternberg, Robert (2003). Cognitive psychology (3rd ed.). Belmont, CA: Thomson/Wadsworth. ISBN 978-0-15-508535-0. OCLC 883578008. Craighead, W. Edward; Nemeroff, Charles B. (2004-04-19). "Gestalt psychology". The Concise Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology and Behavioral Science. John Wiley & Sons. pp. 401-404
ISBN 9780471220367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Penguin Dictionary of Psychology. Viking. ISBN 9780670801367. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2020-10-02. The Psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is a psychology is 
Design. Archived from the original on 10 April 2012. Retrieved 8 April 2012. A Retrieved 8 April 2012. A Retrieved 2018-03-23. A Ellis, Willis D. (1999). A
source book of Gestalt psychology. Vol. 2. Psychology Press. ^ Mayer, R. E. (1992). Thinking, problem solving, cognition. Second edition. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company. ^ a b c d e Kellogg, Ronald T. (2003). Cognitive Psychology (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. ISBN 0-7619-2130-3. ^ Wertheimer, Max (1945). Productive
thinking. New York: Harper. ^ Duncker, Karl (1935). Zur Psychologie des produktiven Denkens [The psychology of productive thinking] (in German). Berlin: Julius Springer. ^ Perkins, D.N. (1981). The Mind's Best Work. Harvard University Press. ISBN 978-0-674-57624-7. Archived from the original on 2022-02-26. Retrieved 2022-01-30. ^ Weisberg,
R.W. (1986). Creativity: Genius and Other Myths. Psychology Series. W.H. Freeman. ISBN 978-0-7167-1768-3. Archived from the original on 2022-02-26. Retrieved 2022-01-30. New institutionism: Meaning, memory, and development in Fuzzy-Trace Theory. Judgment and Decision Making. 7 (3): 332–359.
PMC 4268540. PMID 25530822. ^ Barghout, Lauren (2014). "Visual Taxometric Approach to Image Segmentation Using Fuzzy-Spatial Taxon Cut Yields Contextually Relevant Regions". Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems. Communications in Computer and Information Science. 443: 163–173.
doi:10.1007/978-3-319-08855-6_17. ISBN 978-3-319-08854-9. ^ a b Bruce, V.; Green, P.; Georgeson, M. (1996). Visual perception: Physiology, psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (2010). Psychology and ecology (3rd ed.). LEA. p. 110. ^ Carlson, Neil R.; Heth, C. Donald (201
Pitts, W.H., and McCulloch, W.S. (1961). Two Remarks on the Visual System of the Frog. In Sensory Communication edited by Walter Rosenblith. MIT Press and John Wiley and Sons: New York ^ Valentin Fedorovich Turchin - The phenomenon of science - a cybernetic approach to human evolution - Columbia University Press, 1977 ^ Tanquiane
(Tangian), Andranick (1993). Tanguiane, Andranick S (ed.). Artificial Perception and Music Recognition. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence. Vol. 746. Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer. doi:10.1007/BFb0019384. ISBN 978-3-540-57394-4. S2CID 20835144. Archived from the original on 2021-04-15. Retrieved 2021-02-21. ^ Tanguiane (Tangian),
Andranick (1994). "Principle of correlativity of perception and its applications to music recognition". Music Perception and memory. KIT
Scientific Working Papers. Vol. 166. Karlsruhe: Karlsruhe: Karlsruhe: Karlsruhe: Karlsruhe: Synthese. Springer Science and Business Media LLC. 97 (1): 125–156. doi:10.1007/bf01255834.
ISSN 0039-7857. S2CID 46973964. ^ Conte, Elio; Todarello, Orlando; Federici, Antonio; Vitiello, Francesco; Lopane, Michele; Khrennikov, Andrei; Zbilut, Joseph P. (2007). "Some remarks on an experiment suggesting quantum-like behavior of cognitive entity
and its dynamics". Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 31 (5): 1076–1088. arXiv:0710.5092. Bibcode:2007CSF....31.1076C. doi:10.1016/j.chaos.2005.09.061. S2CID 119285515. ^ Elio Conte, Orlando Todarello, Antonio Federici, Francesco Vitiello, Michele Lopane, Andrei Khrennikov: A Preliminary Evidence of Quantum Like Behavior in Measurements of
Mental States, arXiv:guant-ph/0307201 Archived 2018-08-15 at the Wayback Machine (submitted 28 July 2003) ^ B.J. Hiley, Particles, fields, and observers, Volume I The Origins of Life, pp. 87-106 (PDF Archived 2016-03-04 at the Wayback Machine) ^ Hiley, Basil
J.; Pylkkänen, Paavo (2001). "Naturalizing the mind in a quantum framework". In Pylkkänen, P.; Vadén, T. (eds.). Dimensions of Conscious Experience. Advances in consciousness research. J. Benjamins Pub. pp. 119–144. ISBN 978-90-272-5157-2. Archived from the original on 2022-01-30. A b Nauts, Sanne; Languer, Oliver;
Huijsmans, Inge; Vonk, Roos; Wigboldus, Daniël H. J. (2014). "Forming Impressions of Personality: A Replication and Review of Evidence for a Primacy-of-Warmth Effect in Impression Formation". Social Psychology. 45 (3): 153–163. doi:10.1027/1864-9335/a000179. hdl:2066/128034. ISSN 1864-9335. S2CID 7693277. ^ Sigall, Harold; Ostrove, Nancy
(1975). "Beautiful but dangerous: Effects of offender attractiveness and nature of the crime on juridic judgment". Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 31 (3): 410-414. doi:10.1037/h0076472. ISSN 0022-3514. ^ a b Jäkel F.; Singh M.; Wichmann F. A.; Herzog, M. H. (2016), "An overview of quantitative approaches in Gestalt perception.",
Vision Research, 126: 3-8, doi:10.1016/j.visres.2016.06.004, PMID 27353224 Yu, Dian; Tam, Derek; Franconeri, Steven L. (2019). "Gestalt similarity groupings are not constructed in parallel". Cognition. 182: 8-13. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2018.08.006. ISSN 0010-0277. PMID 30212653. S2CID 52269830. S2CID 52269830. Schultz, Duane (2013). A History of
Modern Psychology. Burlington: Elsevier Science. p. 291. ISBN 978-1483270081. ^ Murray, David J. (1995). Gestalt Psychology and the Cognitive Revolution. New York: Prentice Hall. ISBN 978-0-13-320714-9. ^ Tait, Alex (2018-04-01). "Visual Hierarchy and Layout". Geographic Information Science & Technology Body of Knowledge. University
Consortium for Geographic Information Science. 2018 (Q2). doi:10.22224/gistbok/2018.2.4. ISSN 2577-2848. Heider, Grace M. (1977). "More about Hull and Koffka". American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. American Psychologist. 
Resources in your library Gestalt psychology on Encyclopædia Britannica Journal "Gestalt Theory - An International Multidisciplinary Journal" in full text (open source) International Society for Gestalt Theory and its Applications - GTA Retrieved from "
```

